1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION				
11	CONTINENTAL D.I.A. DIAMOND	Case No. CV 08-2	2136 SI		
12	PRODUCTS, INC., a California corporation,	FOURTH JOINT STIPULATION AND			
13	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] O	N OF CASE		
14	VS.	MANAGEMENT TRIAL DATE	SCHEDULE AND		
15	DONG YOUNG DIAMOND INDUSTRIAL	Judge:	Honorable Susan Illston		
16	CO., LTD., a South Korean company, DONGSOO LEE, an individual, and DOES 1-	Complaint Filed:			
17	10, inclusive,	Trial Date:	April 24, 2008 April 19, 2010		
18	Defendants.				
19					
20	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.				
21	CTIPL	H ATHON			
22	STIPULATION WHITEDEAG G. vi. 11 D. 14 Diversity of the control of				
23	WHEREAS, Continental D.I.A. Diamond Products, Inc. ("Continental" or "Plaintiff") and				
24	Defendants Dong Young Diamond Industrial Co., Ltd. and DongSoo Lee (collectively				
25	"Defendants") continue to engage in settlement discussions, which respective counsel believe will				
26	lead to a final resolution of this matter; WHEREAS, the parties have made additional progress in such settlement discussions, hav				
27 28	reached near final agreement on terms of settlement and have taken steps to assure settlement once				
20	-1-				

FOURTH JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR MODIFICATION CASE MANAGEMENT SCHEDULE AND TRIAL DATE CASE NO.: CV 08-2136 SI

reached will be effected;

3

2

4

5

6

8

9

IU

11 12

13

15

16

17 18

19

2021

22

23

2425

26

2728

WHEREAS, respective counsel for the parties have been and continue to be in frequent contact to attempt to resolve the differences between the parties;

WHEREAS, the parties, mindful of this Court's interest in having this case resolved, and confident of the parties' ability to resolve this highly complex matter, are diligently working to reach a settlement. However, given the complicated nature of some of the intellectual property at issue and due to the continuing time-zone and communication, multi-lingual and multi-cultural challenges faced by counsel for Defendants, the parties require additional time;

WHEREAS, counsel for the parties believe that an additional thirty (30) day suspension of applicable deadlines in this case will allow the parties and counsel to continue to devote their attention and resources to a final resolution of this matter,

WHEREAS, the parties also agree that the requirement that they participate in a settlement conference before a Magistrate Judge should also be continued for thirty (30) days as the parties believe that they should be able to resolve the case without Judicial Assistance and would like to focus their resources and time on finalizing settlement;

WHEREAS, the agreed upon extension of the settlement conference, the fact and expert discovery deadlines, dispositive motion deadlines and the trial date is not for the purpose of delay, and the parties believe that this reasonable extension of time may well obviate the need for trial in this matter, and is in the interests of fairness and judicial economy.

ACCORDINGLY, the parties, by and through their undersigned counsel, HEREBY STIPULATE as follows:

In order to avoid prejudicing the parties' discovery efforts and trial preparations, and to allow the parties to focus on settlement negotiations, the parties agree and respectfully request that the current pre-trial schedule be modified as follows:

- 1. The fact discovery cut-off, which is currently set for January 21, 2010, is extended to February 22, 2010;
- 2. The deadline to designate experts, which is currently set for March 1, 2010, is extended to March 31, 2010;

Case3:08-cv-02136-SI Document152 Filed12/16/09 Page3 of 4

1	3. The deadline to designate rebuttal experts, which is currently set for March 25, 201			
2	is extended to April 26, 2010; and			
3	4. The expert discovery cut-off, which is currently set for April 12, 2010, is extended			
4	May 12, 2010.			
5	5. The dates for dispositive motions are extended for thirty days, to the following			
6	schedule:			
7	• Dispositive Motions Due: March 17, 2010			
8	• Oppositions Due: March 29, 2010			
9	• Replies Due: April 7, 2010			
10	6. The hearing on dispositive motions, which is currently set for April 2, 2010, is rese			
11	for May 3, 2010, or as soon thereafter as the Court's schedule permits.			
12	7. The pretrial conference, which is currently set for May 18, 2010, is adjourned for			
13	thirty (30) days, to June 17, 2010 or as soon thereafter as the Court's schedule permits.			
14	8. The trial date, which is currently set for June 1, 2010, is adjourned for thirty (30)			
15	days, to July 1, 2010 or as soon thereafter as the Court's schedule permits.			
16	9. The settlement conference, which is currently set for January 15, 2010 (Dkt.			
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

Case3:08-cv-02136-SI Document152 Filed12/16/09 Page4 of 4

1	No.147), is adjourned for thirty (30) days, to February 15, 2010, subject to Magistrate Maria-Elena			
2	James' availability.			
3	10. All other deadlines are tolled for thirty (30) days as of the date of this stipulation.			
4	4 IT IS SO STIPULATED BY THE PARTIES.	IT IS SO STIPULATED BY THE PARTIES.		
5	Dated: December 16, 2009 MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLO	VSKY AND POPEO P.C.		
6				
7	/s/ Jeffrey M. Ratinoff By: JEFFREY M. RATINOFF			
8	8 Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counter-Defend	dant,		
9		Inc.		
10				
11		ACHIOS, LLP		
12	/s/ Alfred C. Frawley			
13	By: ALFRED C. FRAWLEY			
14 15	Attorneys for Defendants/Counterclair			
16		,		
17		PURSUANT TO STIPLU ATION IT IS SO ORDERED		
18				
19	D 4 1			
20	20			
21				
22		alon		
23	THE HONORABLE SUSAN UNITED STATES DISTRIC			
24	24			
25	25 _{4791943v.1}			
26	26			
27	27			
28	28			
	EQUIDTH IQINT STIDLIL ATION AND IDDODOSED ODDED EOD N	AODIEICATION CASE		